

TABLE 1

## Financial Information on Athletes by Fiscal Year

Cost of Rice Athletics	Notes	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
As % of University Budget	(1)	3.47%	2.78%	2.55%	2.42%	2.44%	2.27%	2.22%	3.22%	3.18%	3.10%	3.18%	3.15%
As % of Univ. Operating Costs	(2)	3.26%	2.82%	2.74%	2.72%	2.74%	2.47%	2.39%	3.38%	3.44%	3.27%	3.45%	3.39%
As % of Endowment Market Value	(3)	0.41%	0.38%	0.36%	0.35%	0.38%	0.31%	0.26%	0.32%	0.28%	0.27%	0.27%	0.30%
Cost of Rice Athletics (K\$)	(4)	\$4,375	\$4,331	\$4,460	\$4,588	\$4,901	\$4,750	\$4,881	\$7,333	\$7,759	\$7,927	\$9,054	\$9,590

## Notes:

(1)Source: Annual operating budget and capital budget as approved by the Board of Trustees. (Capital budget excludes new buildings.)

(2)Source: Rice Financial Statements. Operating costs include educational and general expenditures and auxiliary expenditures. Calculations include financial aid and depreciation for consistency given changes in accounting standards across the timeframe discussed. Operating expenditures after adoption of FAS 116 and 117 include depreciation; in earlier years, this was charged directly against plant fund balances. The FY 1990-1994 years were adjusted to exclude capital expenses from current funds reported as operating expenses and to include depreciation. The FY 1995-2001 years were adjusted to include financial aid.

Rice adopted Financial Accounting Standards #116, 117, and 124 in FY 1996 and restated the FY 1995 financial information. Rice adopted NACUBO position paper on tuition discounting, which eliminated Rice-funded financial aid from both revenue and expense calculations in FY 1997 and restated FY 1996. For colleges and universities, NACUBO position papers are considered statements of generally accepted accounting principles. Data which would permit calculation of the tuition discount prior to FY 1996 are not available.

(3)Based on the June 30 endowment market value each year, as provided by the Treasurer's Office and reported by Cambridge Associates.

(4)Source: NCAA Financial Audits of Rice University. Cost of athletics and Rice is defined as the athletics operating deficit plus 50% of athletics tuition scholarship costs. This definition is consistent with the "costs of athletics" as defined in previous Rice self-studies on athletics, such as the Report of the Athletic Review Committee on January 28, 1992.

SOURCE: DEAN W. CURRIE, VICE PRESIDENT FOR FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION, OCTOBER 8, 2002

TABLE 2  
 SAT (VERBAL + MATH) PERCENTILE SCORES,  
 ENTERING FRESHMEN ATHLETES, 1989-2002  
 (Recentered scores in bold face)

Group	Year	25th Percentile	75th Percentile	
Athletes	1989	893	1119	
	1990	891	1126	
	1991	866	1098	
	1992	932	1140	
	1993	920	1190	
	1994	960	1230	
	1995	910	1210	
	1996	940	<b>1030</b>	<b>1260</b>
	1997	920	<b>1000</b>	<b>1210</b>
	1998	920	<b>1020</b>	<b>1260</b>
	1999	930	<b>1040</b>	<b>1260</b>
	2000		<b>1060</b>	<b>1310</b>
	2001		<b>1020</b>	<b>1290</b>
2002		<b>960</b>	<b>1220</b>	
All Students	1989	1230	1419	
	1990	1217	1430	
	1991	1201	1432	
	1992	1230	1441	
	1993	1280	1450	
	1994	1280	1450	
	1995	1270	1440	
	1996	1290	<b>1360</b>	<b>1510</b>
	1997	1270	<b>1350</b>	<b>1500</b>
	1998	1260	<b>1340</b>	<b>1510</b>
	1999	1250	<b>1330</b>	<b>1500</b>
	2000		<b>1340</b>	<b>1500</b>
	2001		<b>1320</b>	<b>1490</b>
2002		<b>1330</b>	<b>1490</b>	

SOURCE: Chair of Admissions Committee's annual reports to faculty.

TABLE 3  
DIFFERENCES IN PERCENTILE SCORES,  
ATHLETES AND ENTIRE STUDENT BODY, 1989-2002  
(Differences derived from recentered scores in bold face)

Year	25th percentile	75th percentile
1989	337	300
1990	326	304
1991	335	334
1992	298	301
1993	360	260
1994	320	220
1995	360	230
1996	<b>330</b>	<b>250</b>
1997	<b>350</b>	<b>290</b>
1998	<b>320</b>	<b>250</b>
1999	<b>290</b>	<b>240</b>
2000	<b>280</b>	<b>190</b>
2001	<b>300</b>	<b>200</b>
2002	<b>370</b>	<b>270</b>
Mean	327	260

SOURCE: Table 2; differences calculated using unrecentered scores through 1995 and recentered scores from 1996 on.

TABLE 4  
 SAT (V+M) SCORES, BOTTOM QUARTILE,  
 ATHLETES  
 (Recentered scores are in bold face.)

<u>Year</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>High Score</u>	<u>Median</u>	<u>Low Score</u>	
1993	15	910	865	710	
1994	14	910	830	630 <sup>a</sup>	
1995	14	880	815	700	
1996	13 <sup>b</sup>	920	<b>1030</b>	880	<b>990</b>
1997	12	920	<b>1000</b>	860	<b>970</b>
1998	12	920	<b>1020</b>	880	<b>980</b>
1999	11	930	<b>1040</b>	910	<b>1010</b>
2000	12		<b>1060</b>	<b>990</b>	<b>830</b>
2001	13		<b>1020</b>	<b>970</b>	<b>880</b>
2002	13		<b>960</b>	<b>910</b>	<b>840</b>

<sup>a</sup>This athlete, whose score is 70 points below the NCAA SAT minimum at the time, was allowed because his ACT score was above the ACT minimum.

<sup>b</sup>Regarding the data from 1996 through 2002, which were presented to the faculty by the chair of the Admission Committee in 2002, the chair's report said: "A number of students do not have SAT scores and are omitted from this analysis."

SOURCE: 1993-1995 data are from each Annual Report of the Chair of the Admissions Committee to the Faculty from 1993 through 1995; 1996-2002 recentered data are from a single Annual Report of the Chair of the Admission Committee to the Faculty in 2002; 1996-1999 unrecentered data are from each annual report of the Admissions Committee chair in those years.

TABLE 5  
 VOTES ON MATRICULATED ENTERING FRESHMEN ATHLETES  
 BY THE FACULTY ATHLETIC SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE ADMISSIONS COMMITTEE

---

Votes For/Against <u>Admission</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>
3/0	42	41	40	53	44
2/1	8	7	11	7	8
1/2	9	5	4	3	7
0/3	$\frac{2^*}{61}$ (3%)	$\frac{3^*}{56}$ (5%)	$\frac{5^+}{60}$ (8%)	$\frac{8^\#}{71}$ (11%)	$\frac{10^{++}}{69}$ (14%)

---

\*All Procedure I applicants

+One Procedure II applicant, four Procedure II applicants

#Five Procedure II applicants, three Procedure II applicants

++Five Procedure III applicants, five Procedure II applicants

---

SOURCE: 2002 Report of the Chair of the Admissions Committee  
 to the faculty

TABLE 5A  
 GRADUATION RATES WITHIN SIX YEARS OF ENTRY,  
 STUDENT BODY AND ATHLETES ONLY,  
 ENTERING CLASSES, 1984-95

---

<u>Year of entry</u>	<u>Student Body (%)</u>	<u>Athletes Only (%)</u>
1984	83	63
1985	90	77
1986	86	78
1987	88	75
1988	88	77
1989	88	80
1990	90	74
1991	88	83
1992	92	86
1993	88	82
1994	89	76
1995	89	91
<b>Mean</b>	<b>88.3</b>	<b>78.5</b>

---

SOURCES: Julie Griswold, Department of Athletics;  
 Barry McFarland, Office of Admissions.

**Table 6****Majors of Student Athletes Spring 2002 Term**

274 total registered athletes for Spring 02

Source: Professor Fred Rudolph, Chair, Admission Committee, Fall 2002

<i>Count of Athletes</i>	
NUMBER OF MAJORS	TOTAL
1	190
2	69
3	15
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>274</b>

<b>Count of Majors</b>	
<b>MAJOR</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Ancient Mediterranean Civ.	1
Anthropology	5
Art & Art History	8
Astrophysics	1
Biology	6
Chemical Engineering	1
Civil Engineering	1
Computational and Applied Math.	1
Computer Science	1
Earth Science	1
Economics	50
Electrical & Computer Eng.	2
Engineering Division	10
English	9
Environmental Science & Engineering	1
French Studies	2
Geology	1
History	12
Human Performance & Health Science	2
Humanities Division	12
Kinesiology	90
Managerial Studies	54
Materials Science and Engineering	1
Mathematical Economic Analysis	3
Mathematics	2
Mechanical Engineering	6
Natural Sciences Division	18
Policy Studies	3
Political Science	17
Psychology	17
Religious Studies	3
Slavic Studies	1
Social Sciences Division	25
Sociology	3
Spanish	2
Statistics	1
<b>GRAND TOTAL MAJORS</b>	<b>373</b>

TABLE 7  
 PARTICIPATION IN COLLEGE LIFE,  
 NON-FRESHMEN ATHLETES,  
 AS RATED BY EIGHT MASTERS,  
 FALL 1995

---

Level of Participation	Number	Percent
Good	99	34.99
Fair	72	25.44
Poor	27	9.54
Not at all	64	22.61
Unknown	21	7.42
TOTAL <sup>a</sup>	283	100.00

---

<sup>a</sup>Includes 31 students identified by registrar as trainers. The distribution changes only slightly when the trainers are removed from the analysis.

---

SOURCES: Registrar for identification of athletes; college masters for ratings. Data contained in 1995 report on athletics to faculty by chair of Admission Committee.

TABLE 8  
 PARTICIPATION IN COLLEGE LIFE, ALL ATHLETES,  
 AS RATED BY MASTERS IN NINE COLLEGES, FALL 2002

College	Above Average	Average	Below Average
	(Percentages)		
1.	25	23	52
2.	35	44	21
3.	3	35	62
4.	32	18	50
5.	44	35	21
6.	0	11	89
7.	4	25	71
8.	13	13	74
9.	11	29	60
<u>Summary</u> (% of total number in each category)	18	24	58
<u>four most positive</u>	33	28	39
<u>three most negative</u>	2	23	75

SOURCE: Professor Fred Rudolph, Chair, Admission Committee, December 2002.

TABLE 9  
 FREQUENCY OF ALL HONOR CODE VIOLATIONS,  
 UNDERGRADUATES (ATHLETES AND NON-ATHLETES),  
 MBA STUDENTS, AND GRADUATE STUDENTS, BY YEAR,  
 FALL 1990-JUNE 9, 2003

<b>Year</b>	<b>Non- Athlete Undergrads</b>	<b>Athlete Undergrads</b>	<b>Grad MBA Students</b>		<b>Total</b>
90-91	7	7	0	0	14
91-92	7	45	1	0	53
92-93	5	0	0	0	5
93-94	11	5	0	0	16
94-95	9	0	1	0	10
95-96	4	8	0	0	12
96-97	5	2	6	0	13
97-98	1	2	0	0	3
98-99	4	3	0	0	7
99-00	12	10	0	0	22
00-01	10	1	0	3	14
01-02	21	3	3	7	34
02-03	22	11	8	0	41
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>244</b>
<b>Totals excluding 1991-92</b>					
	111	52	18	10	191

SOURCE: Dr. Patricia Bass

TABLE 10  
 UNIVERSITY COURT RESULTS:  
 FREQUENCY OF UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CODE VIOLATIONS  
 1996-2002

---

Year	Non-athletes	Athletes	Total	Athletes)	( %
1996-97	15	5	20		(25)
1997-98	35	2	37		( 5)
1998-99	36	13	49		(27)
1999-00	23	5	28		(18)
2000-01	27	12	39		(31)
2001-02 <sup>a</sup>	30	14	44		(32)
TOTAL	166	51	217		(24) <sup>b</sup>

---

<sup>a</sup>Data complete through August 2002.

<sup>b</sup>During this period, athletes are estimated to have composed 8.5%-10% of the undergraduate population.

---

SOURCE: From data obtained by Dr. Patricia Bass, Assistant Dean of Student Judicial Programs

TABLE 11  
 ARRESTS OF RICE STUDENTS ON CAMPUS,  
 ATHLETES AND NON-ATHLETES,  
 IN TWO RANDOMLY CHOSEN YEARS,  
 RICE UNIVERSITY POLICE DEPARTMENT

---

Year	Number	(Percent)
<u>1997-98</u>		
Athletes	0	(0)
Non-athletes	<u>16</u>	<u>(100)</u>
Total	16	(100)
<u>2001-02</u>		
Athletes	8	(57)
Non-athletes	<u>6</u>	<u>(43)</u>
Total	14	(100)
<u>Both years combined</u>		
Athletes	8	(27)
Non-athletes	<u>22</u>	<u>(73)</u>
TOTAL	30	(100)

---

SOURCE: Neill Binford, Associate Vice President for Finance and Administration, February 3, 2003.

